

Correlation induced vanishing of the gap function on the Fermi surface in high- T_c superconductors

G. Baskaran*, D. G. Kanhere[†], Mihir Arjunwadkar[†] and Rahul Basu*

* *The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Madras 600 113, India*

[†] *Department of Physics, University of Poona, Pune 411 007, India*

We present physical arguments as well as numerical evidence to show that the Cooper pair (gap) function vanishes on the Fermi surface in strongly correlated electron systems such as $t-J$ and large- U Hubbard models in one and two dimensions. Using exact diagonalization, we study a correlation function which is a measure of the gap function even in a finite system, and present numerical results for this correlation function on small clusters of 8 (Hubbard) and 16 ($t-J$) sites.

The study of high- T_c cuprates has brought to light a class of singlet superconductors with properties rather different from the BCS type of superconductor. In this context, there have been intensive photoemission, muon spin resonance and tunneling studies of high- T_c superconductors in recent times, as well as several theoretical suggestions. [1] In particular, some of the recent models of high- T_c superconductors have given rise to the possibility of gap functions

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} = \langle c_{\mathbf{k}\uparrow}^\dagger c_{-\mathbf{k}\downarrow}^\dagger \rangle \quad (1)$$

having unusual symmetry properties, e.g. $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$ which is *odd* across the Fermi surface (FS), [2,4] although the issue of the symmetry of the gap function still remains unresolved. In the context of the Resonating Valence Bond (RVB) theory, which is based on strong electron correlations, one has always looked for unique signatures in some of the physical properties. The behaviour of the superconducting gap function in $\mathbf{k}$ -space offers one such signature.

Anderson [4] has pointed out in several contexts that the gap function vanishes on the FS and has the property $\text{sgn}\Delta(\mathbf{k}_F + \delta\mathbf{k}) = -\text{sgn}\Delta(\mathbf{k}_F - \delta\mathbf{k})$. At simple mean-field level, this is true for the $t-J$ model only at half filling (Mott insulator). For the doped case, the analysis is difficult and Anderson did not provide a convincing argument as to why the gap should vanish on the FS away from half-filling. [7] We must point out here that the possibility of odd behavior as a function of $(|\mathbf{k}| - \mathbf{k}_F)$ was anticipated by Cohen [3] many years ago, and recently many authors [2] have looked at a more general type of singlet pairing that is odd in $\mathbf{k}$ and ω .

The aim of the present letter is to provide physical arguments and numerical evidence for this claim. We point out that the singular forward scattering between two electrons with opposite spins on the FS, arising from strong correlation, has an interesting consequence on the structure of the gap function around the FS; in particular, it has a tendency to vanish on the FS, independent of the rotational symmetry in $\mathbf{k}$ -space. Our numerical studies on small clusters yield results which are indeed consistent with these expectations. [8] These results have been obtained through exact diagonalization on small clusters in 1D (8-site Hubbard and 16-site $t-J$ chains) and in 2D ($\sqrt{8} \times \sqrt{8}$ Hubbard and 4×4 $t-J$ planes). Within the finite-size limitation, we clearly see a change of sign of the gap function along radial directions across the FS, indicating the presence of a line of zeros close to the FS, and furthermore, it has *s*-wave symmetry. *The key argument of this paper is that the nature of charge fluctuations in k -space imposed by real space repulsions is incompatible with the nature of charge fluctuations demanded by a finite $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$ on the FS.*

Our starting point is the one-band large- U Hubbard model or the $t-J$ model, which are believed to describe the low-energy physics of high- T_c superconductors. Let us begin by noting that in the large- U Hubbard model, the on-site pairing amplitude $\Delta_{ii} = \langle c_{i\uparrow}^\dagger c_{i\downarrow}^\dagger \rangle$ is diminished considerably on account of the restriction on double occupancy. In the $t-J$ model, of course, double occupancy is projected out. Thus

$$\Delta_{ii} = \langle c_{i\uparrow}^\dagger c_{i\downarrow}^\dagger \rangle = 0 \quad (2)$$

In general, there is no reason to expect $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$ to vanish on the Fermi surface. In a simple d -wave superconductor in a 2D square lattice, for example, the gap goes to zero along the lines $k_x = k_y$ and $k_x = -k_y$ and these lines intersect the FS at four points. But, is there any special advantage for the line of zeros to coincide with the FS in 2D and in 1D? We will now argue that the answer is in the affirmative.

Let us begin by examining the behaviour of the BCS wave function close to the FS:

$$|BCS\rangle = \prod_{\mathbf{k}} (u_{\mathbf{k}} + v_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{-\mathbf{k}\downarrow}^{\dagger}) |0\rangle \quad (4)$$

which may be rewritten as

$$|BCS\rangle = \prod_{\mathbf{k}} (u_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + \sqrt{2} u_{\mathbf{k}} v_{\mathbf{k}} b_{\mathbf{k},-\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} + v_{\mathbf{k}}^2 b_{\mathbf{k},-\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} b_{\mathbf{k},-\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}) |0\rangle, \quad (5)$$

where the product is now over only half of the $\mathbf{k}$ -space (e.g. $k_x > 0$ and all k_y in 2D), with

$$b_{\mathbf{k},-\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (c_{\mathbf{k}\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{-\mathbf{k}\downarrow}^{\dagger} - c_{\mathbf{k}\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{-\mathbf{k}\uparrow}^{\dagger}) \quad (6)$$

as the singlet pair creation operator on points $\mathbf{k}$ and $-\mathbf{k}$ in $\mathbf{k}$ -space. It is clear that $u_{\mathbf{k}}^2$ is the *probability amplitude* of finding no singlet pair with momentum $(\mathbf{k}, -\mathbf{k})$, $u_{\mathbf{k}} v_{\mathbf{k}}$ is that of finding one singlet pair (of charge $2e$), and $v_{\mathbf{k}}^2$ that of finding two singlet pairs (of total charge $4e$). The BCS state has identical phase relations for various configurations of pair occupancy in $\mathbf{k}$ -space. That is, when the product in Eq. (5) is expanded out, the resulting sum has identical phase for all terms, each term corresponding to different configurations of the $(\mathbf{k}, -\mathbf{k})$ occupancy. Superconductivity can thus be thought of as a coherent charge- $2e$ fluctuating state in $\mathbf{k}$ -space. Since $u_{\mathbf{k}} v_{\mathbf{k}}$ is non-zero only in a thin energy shell around the FS, the coherent $2e$ charge fluctuation is concentrated around the FS. (It is interesting to note that this coherence in $\mathbf{k}$ -space results in phase coherence among the Cooper pairs in real space also). Away from the shell, we either have a completely filled band (inside the FS) or a completely empty band (outside the FS) and hence no charge fluctuations. Thus, $u_{\mathbf{k}} v_{\mathbf{k}} (\sim \Delta_{\mathbf{k}})$ is a measure of coherent charge fluctuations in $\mathbf{k}$ -space.

We now argue that strong correlations in real space lead to a suppression of such coherent charge fluctuations close to the FS. Strongly correlated electrons in 1D and 2D, described by a large- U Hubbard model, have certain unique features close to the FS. It is well known that in the 1D Hubbard model there is singular forward scattering between two electrons with opposite spins close to the FS. This leads to a finite phase shift [5] at the FS and the consequent failure of the Fermi liquid theory, resulting in the vanishing of the discontinuity in $n_{\mathbf{k}}$ at the FS (Luttinger liquid behaviour). It also implies an effective hard-core repulsive pseudopotential between electrons with opposite spins close to the FS. Thus no two electrons close to the FS, with opposite spins, can have the same momentum, thereby making $\mathbf{k}$ -points close to the FS essentially singly occupied. Coherent pair fluctuations are thus unlikely to develop on or very close to the FS, but are not forbidden away from the FS. It is therefore likely that the line of zeros of $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$ implied by the global constraint (Eq. (3)) will coincide with the FS.

Generalizations of the above argument to two and higher dimensions is straightforward provided the following is true: the projective constraint of no double occupancy in real space should lead to singular forward scattering and consequent failure of Fermi liquid theory. As discussed earlier, the single occupancy constraint around the FS in $\mathbf{k}$ -space is likely to force the $(d-1)$ dimension nodal surface of $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$ to coincide with the $(d-1)$ dimensional FS. In particular, the 2-d case can be understood in the spirit of Anderson's tomographic Luttinger liquid picture [6] where we have a collection of 1-d chains in $\mathbf{k}$ -space.

The above discussion can be quantified by studying the behaviour of $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$ numerically, for which purpose we perform exact diagonalization calculations on small clusters of 8 and 16 sites. However, it is not possible for us to calculate $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$ directly, since we have chosen to work in a number-conserving basis. We thus define a correlation function $\Delta_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}$ as

Thus if $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$ vanishes on the FS and is an odd function across the FS, it can be seen that $\Delta_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'} > 0$ when $\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'$ both lie either completely inside or completely outside the FS, $\Delta_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'} = 0$ when either of $\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'$ lie on the FS, and $\Delta_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'} < 0$ when one is inside and the other is outside. In a strongly correlated system, we do not expect a complete factorization as in Eq. (8), but a form

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'} \sim \Delta_{\mathbf{k}}\Delta_{\mathbf{k}'}^* + g_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}, \quad (9)$$

where $g_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}$ is expected to be small when $\mathbf{k}$ and $\mathbf{k}'$ are well separated. We shall therefore infer the behaviour of $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$ from exact results on $\Delta_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}$ by assuming a factorization of the form above.

We have evaluated $\Delta_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}$ using exact diagonalization on 8-site Hubbard and 16-site $t - J$ chains in 1D and $\sqrt{8} \times \sqrt{8}$ Hubbard and 4×4 $t - J$ planes in 2D, for all $\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'$ within the Brillouin zone. Assuming that $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$ changes sign across the FS and a factorization of the form Eq.(8) we expect to see a change in the sign of $\Delta_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}$ as $\mathbf{k}$ is varied across the FS for each value of $\mathbf{k}'$. We present results for a fixed value of $\mathbf{k}' = 0$ and vary $\mathbf{k}$ along appropriate direction(s) across the FS. The $\mathbf{k}' \neq 0$ results are qualitatively similar.

The results for 1D are particularly striking. Figure 1 depicts $\Delta_{\mathbf{k},0}$ for an 8-site Hubbard chain with $U = 10$ for 0, 2, 4 and 6 holes. A clear sign change is observed across $\mathbf{k}_F$. To contrast this with the $U < 0$ Hubbard model, we have also plotted $\Delta_{\mathbf{k},0}$ for $U = -10$ with 2 holes in the same figure. As expected, $\Delta_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}$ is always positive and nearly flat around $\mathbf{k}_F$. This is consistent with on-site pairing for $\frac{|U|}{t} \gg 1$, which implies $\langle c_{i\uparrow}^\dagger c_{j\downarrow}^\dagger \rangle \sim \delta_{ij}$ making $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$ virtually $\mathbf{k}$ -independent. Figure 2 depicts $\Delta_{\mathbf{k},0}$ for a 16-site $t - J$ chain with 0, 2 and 12 holes for $J = 0.32$, which again shows a clear change of sign across $\mathbf{k}_F$. Figure 3 is a plot of k_s vs. k_F for the 1-d chains, where k_s is the node of $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$. An approximate linear relationship is clearly evident, with the spread being due to the degeneracy of the ground state. In two dimensions, we present results for the 4×4 cluster in Fig. 4 and 5.

Figure 4 shows $\Delta_{\mathbf{k},0}$ for the 4×4 $t - J$ cluster ($J = 0.32$), again along $(0, 0) - (\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}) - (\pi, \pi)$, for 0, 2 and 12 holes. As before, $\Delta_{\mathbf{k},0}$ changes sign across $\mathbf{k}_F$ for all the three cases. We have observed a similar sign change along the direction $(0, 0) - (\frac{\pi}{2}, 0) - (\pi, 0)$. Figure 5 is a surface plot of $\Delta_{\mathbf{k},0}$ for all $\mathbf{k} = (k_x, k_y)$, $-\pi \leq k_x, k_y \leq \pi$. In addition to the sign change, this also indicates the angular symmetry of $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$. As $\mathbf{k}$ is moved along a closed path around the origin, we do not see any sign change in $\Delta_{\mathbf{k},0}$, which clearly indicates that the order parameter has s -wave symmetry. One consequence of this is that d -symmetry need no longer be invoked to enforce $\sum_{\mathbf{k}} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}} = 0$. The system prefers to have s -symmetry and the sign change across the FS satisfies the global constraint.

To summarise, we have investigated the behaviour of the gap function $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$ across the Fermi surface in the $t - J$ and large- U Hubbard models in one and two dimensions, at and away from half-filling. We have presented physical arguments for the vanishing of $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$ on the FS. Exact diagonalization results on 8- and 16-site clusters, both in 1D and 2D, clearly indicate a change of sign of $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$ across $\mathbf{k}_F$, and in addition, the 2D results have s -wave symmetry. Our results, which essentially gives a gapless s -wave superconductor, has consequences for several experimental results in the cuprate superconductors below T_c - in particular, the temperature dependence of the London penetration depth, NMR relaxation rate, zero bias conductivity in tunneling experiments, specific heat etc. Some of these consequences are being investigated.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank V. N. Muthukumar for many useful and illuminating discussions. M.A. acknowledges the Council for Scientific and Industrial Research for financial support, and the Center for Development of Advanced Computing (C-DAC) for computing facility. Partial financial assistance was provided under Project No. SP/S2/M-47/89 by the Department of Science and Technology and DST project SBR 32 of the National Superconductivity Programme.

- S. Tremblay, C.R.P.S. preprint CRPS-93-18 - to appear in Proceedings of Santa Fe Conference on Spectroscopies in Novel Superconductors, 1993, Santa Fe, New Mexico; P. Choudhury - private discussion.
- [2] F. Mila and E. Abrahams, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 2379 (1991); V. L. Berezinskii, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **20**, 628 (1974) [JETP Lett. **20**, 287 (1974)]. E. Abrahams, A. V. Balatsky, J. R. Schrieffer and P. B. Allen, Phys. Rev. B **47**, 513 (1993);
- [3] M. L. Cohen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **12**, 664 (1964).
- [4] P. W. Anderson, *Proceedings of the Workshop on Fermiology of High- T_c Superconductivity*, Argonne, 1991; P. W. Anderson, Science **235** 1196 (1987).
- [5] P. W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 1839 (1990); P. W. Anderson in the "Princeton RVB book" on high- T_c superconductors, Chapter V - unpublished. See also F. D. M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **47** 1840 (1981); H. Shiba and M. Ogata, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **108**, 265 (1992).
- [6] P. W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 2306 (1990); P. W. Anderson, *ibid.* **66**, 3226 (1991).
- [7] G. Baskaran, Z. Zou and P. W. Anderson, Solid State Commun. **63**, 973 (1987).
- [8] The gap $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$ for one layer is related to the actual, experimentally measured gap $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^{exp}$ as $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^{exp} \sim t_{\perp}^2 \Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$, where $t_{\perp}(k)$ is the electron hopping matrix element between two adjacent CuO_2 layers; see also S. Chakravarty, A. Sudbo, P. W. Anderson and S. Strong, Science **261**, 337 (1993).

Figure Captions

1. $\Delta_{\mathbf{k},0}$ as a function of $\frac{\mathbf{k}}{\pi}$ for an 8-site Hubbard chain ($U = 10$) for 0, 2, 4 and 6 holes. The corresponding $\mathbf{k}_F = \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{4}$ and 0 respectively. For comparison, we also plot $\Delta_{\mathbf{k},0}$ for $U = -10$ for 2 holes.
2. $\Delta_{\mathbf{k},0}$ as a function of $\frac{\mathbf{k}}{\pi}$ for an 16-site $t - J$ chain ($J = 0.32$) for 0, 2 and 12 holes. The corresponding $\mathbf{k}_F = \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{8}$ and $\frac{\pi}{8}$ respectively.
3. The k_s vs. k_F curve for the 1-d chains; k_s is the node of $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$. The straight line is the $k_s = k_F$ plot as a "guide to the eye".
4. $\Delta_{\mathbf{k},0}$ as a function of $\frac{\mathbf{k}}{\pi}$ for a 2D 4×4 $t - J$ cluster ($J = 0.32$) for 0, 2 and 12 holes. $\mathbf{k}$ is varied along the direction (x, x) , with $x = 0, \frac{\pi}{2}, \pi$. $\mathbf{k}_F$ corresponds to $x = \frac{\pi}{2}$ for 0 and 2 holes. For 12 holes, $(0, 0)$ is completely inside the FS and the other two points completely outside.
5. A surface plot of $\Delta_{\mathbf{k},0}$ as a function of $\frac{k_x}{\pi}, \frac{k_y}{\pi}$ for the 4×4 $t - J$ cluster ($J = 0.32$) for 2 holes. $\mathbf{k}_F$ corresponds to the set of points $(\pm \frac{\pi}{2}, \pm \frac{\pi}{2}), (\pm \frac{\pi}{2}, 0), (0, \pm \frac{\pi}{2})$.